

February 22, 2002

Mr. John A. Kazen Kazen, Muerer & Pérez P.O. Box 6237 Laredo, Texas 78040

OR2002-0850

## Dear Mr. Kazen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 158893.

The Laredo Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for nine categories of information pertaining to interviews for the elementary and middle school principal positions. You claim that the information responsive to categories three and four of the request, consisting of any comments or written notes on each individual interviewee and the overall ranking of each interviewee, is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the district does not claim that the information responsive to categories 1, 2, and 5-9 of the request is excepted from public disclosure. Therefore, if the district has not already released that information, it must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301(a), .302.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 21.355 of the Education Code provides, "[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential." This office has interpreted this section to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or administrator. Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996). In that opinion, this office also concluded that a teacher is

someone who is required to hold and does hold a certificate or permit required under chapter 21 of the Education Code and is teaching at the time of his or her evaluation. *Id.* Similarly, an administrator is someone who is required to hold and does hold a certificate required under chapter 21 of the Education Code and is administering at the time of his or her evaluation. *Id.* 

Based on the reasoning set out in Open Records Decision No. 643, we conclude that the documents submitted to this office are not confidential under section 21.355 of the Education Code, as these interview questions, notes and rankings do not evaluate an administrator who is performing the functions of an administrator. See ORD 643 at 4 (definition of "administrator" in section 21.355 is person who is required to hold and does in fact hold an administrator's certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21, and who is performing functions of an administrator, as that term is commonly defined, at time of evaluation).

Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102 is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common-law privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Accordingly, we will consider your section 552.101 and section 552.102 claims together.

For information to be protected from public disclosure by the common-law right of privacy under section 552.101, the information must meet the criteria set out in *Industrial Foundation*. In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated that information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 685.

It has long been established that the public has a legitimate interest in the qualifications of public employees and applicants for public employment, as well the hiring practices of governmental bodies. See generally Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications of public employees), 455 (1987) (public interest in information bearing on applicants' past employment record and suitability for employment position in question would justify its disclosure), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). Therefore, we conclude that the district may not withhold the information in Exhibits C or D based on the common-law right to privacy. Accordingly, as we find that the submitted information is not excepted under section 552.101 in conjunction with either section 21.355 of the Education Code or common-law privacy, and as you raise

no other exceptions to disclosure, we find that the submitted information contained in Exhibits C and D must be released to the requestor in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this

ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Pearle

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Michael A. Pearle

MAP/seg

Ref: ID# 158893

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Guadalupe M. Cortés

Laredo Education Association

TSTA/NEA 3304 Mier

Laredo, Texas 78043

(w/o enclosures)